## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

٧.

14-CR-134-A **ORDER** 

JEREOME GRANT,

Defendant.

The defendant, Jerome Grant, has filed a *pro* se letter request (Docket No. 836) for a sentence reduction in light of a ruling in *U.S. v. Winstead*, 890 F.3d 1082 (D.C. Cir. 2018), that he believes establishes that he was sentenced improperly as if he had the status of a career offender under U.S. Sentencing Guidelines. Generally, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c), a "court may not modify a term of imprisonment once it has been imposed except" in several limited circumstances, such as a relevant amendment to the Guidelines or a motion from the Director of the Bureau of Prisons. Defendant Grant does not identify any § 3582(c) exception that might apply in this case, nor is any apparent. The defendant's letter request must be denied if it is construed as a motion under § 3582(c).

The Court is obliged to liberally construe pleadings filed by *pro se* litigants. Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Construing defendant Grant's letter motion for a sentence reduction liberally, could, in the alternative, be construed as a motion to vacate, reduce, or set aside a sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. But a court may not convert any post-conviction motion into a § 2255 motion without first giving notice of that proposed action, and granting leave to withdraw the potential § 2255 motion. Castro v. United States, 540 U.S. 375, 381-82 (2003), Adams v. United

States, 372 F.3d 132, 136 (2d Cir. 2004). The defendant is therefore advised and

warned that, should he elect to convert his § 3582(c) letter motion into a § 2255 motion,

and if that § 2255 motion is ultimately denied, he may be strictly prohibited from filing a

second § 2255 motion, except in certain limited circumstances. See Adams v. United

States, 155 F.3d 582, 583-84 (2d Cir. 1998). In other words, if the defendant elects to

request that the Court convert his § 3582(c) motion into a § 2255 motion, that decision

"may result" in a "deprivation of a future opportunity to have a [potentially] well-justified

grievance adjudicated." *Id.* at 583.

The Clerk of Court shall mail a copy of this Order to defendant Grant, together

with a Motion to Vacate/Set Aside Sentence Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (AO Form 243). If

the Defendant elects to convert his § 3582(c) motion into a § 2255 motion, he should

complete the form § 2255 motion and return it to the Court within 45 days of the date of

this Order. In his § 2255 motion, the defendant should show cause why the

motion should not be dismissed based upon the language in his Plea Agreement

that purports to waive of his right to bring a collateral attack on his sentence.

See Docket Number 319, p. 7, ¶¶ 16-17. If the defendant elects to *not* convert his

§ 3582(c) motion into a § 2255 motion, he should notify the Court of that decision within

45 days of the date of this Order.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 13, 2018

Buffalo, New York

s/Richard J. Arcara

HONORABLE RICHARD J. ARCARA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2